Origin Statement of Purposology and the Theory of Necessity

Author: Ye, Zhigang
ORCID: 0009-0004-5256-8949
Date: January 2026

Origin Statement (English Version)

Purposology and the Theory of Necessity originate from a single authorial trajectory and are the result of long-term, irreversible civilizational practice rather than abstract speculation or theoretical recombination.

Purposology was formulated to define how a human life purpose is identified, formed, and stabilized. It is not a motivational concept, nor a psychological or educational technique, but a structural condition of human agency beyond productivity, success metrics, and institutional validation.

The Theory of Necessity was formulated to define when action becomes unavoidable. Action becomes necessary when inaction leads to irreversible loss of a subject's core conditions of existence, where such loss cannot be transferred, substituted, or compensated by any alternative path.

These two theories were not derived from existing philosophical, psychological, or educational systems, nor were they developed as extensions, critiques, or adaptations of prior frameworks. They were formulated independently through direct engagement with extreme real-world conditions, in which conventional methods, institutional procedures, and ethical abstractions proved insufficient.

The Final Definition Texts of both theories, published in January 2026, constitute their authoritative and non-revisable origins. Any subsequent interpretation, application, or extension must explicitly reference these texts and does not alter their original definitions.

Purposology and the Theory of Necessity do not function as ideologies, methodologies, or policy instruments. They define structural conditions of human existence and agency. As such, they are not owned by institutions, disciplines, or movements, and cannot be claimed, rebranded, or subsumed under alternative theoretical systems.

Their origin is singular, their definitions are final, and their purpose is the preservation and re-establishment of human subjectivity under conditions of systemic crisis and technological abundance.

起源声明（中文版本）

目标学（Purposology）与必要论（Theory of Necessity）起源于同一作者轨迹，其形成基础是长期、不可逆的文明级实践，而非抽象推演或理论拼接。

目标学的提出，旨在界定人的人生目标如何被识别、生成并稳定。它并非激励性概念，亦非心理学或教育技术，而是一种超越生产力、成功指标与制度性认可的人类主体性结构条件。

必要论的提出，旨在界定行动在何种情况下成为不可回避的。即：当不行动将导致某一主体的核心存在条件发生不可逆损失，且该损失不可转嫁、不可替代，亦无法通过任何补偿路径弥补时，行动即成为唯一通道。

这两套理论并非源自既有哲学、心理学或教育体系，亦非对既有理论的延伸、重组或批判性改写。它们是在直接应对极端现实条件的过程中独立形成的；在这些条件下，常规方法、制度流程与伦理抽象均已失效。

两套理论的最终定义文本（Final Definition Text）已于 2026 年 1 月正式发布，并构成其唯一权威且不可修订的起源文本。任何后续解释、应用或拓展，均须明确引用该文本，且不得改变其原始定义。

目标学与必要论并非意识形态、方法论或政策工具。它们所界定的是人类存在与主体行动的结构性条件。因此，这两套理论不隶属于任何机构、学科或运动，亦不可被占有、重命名或并入其他理论体系之中。

它们的起源是单一的，定义是最终的，其目的在于：在系统性危机与技术性富足并存的时代背景下，保存并重建人的主体性。